

City of Corunna
Regular Council Meeting
Monday, June 18, 2001

Present: Bayless, Hornus, Mehig, Ockerman, Runyan.

Absent: Billis, Dumond.

Guests: Joe Sawyer, City Manager; Larry LeCureux; Don Runyon; Gene Sanderson; Dick Sanderson; Clark Long; Sam Burwell; Judy Horton; Christina Volek, County Coordinator; Janet Washburn, Parks and Recreation Director; Chief Scott Johnson, Fire Department; Gary Palmer, Building and Zoning Officer; Jackie Leone, The Argus Press; Diane Johnson; Pat Yott; Tom Morehouse; Dick Waters; Sgt. Kevin Clark, Police Department; Tom Gray, Michigan Department of Transportation Engineering; and other concerned citizens.

APPOINTMENT OF COUNCILPERSON AL BAYLESS AS PRESIDENT: Joe Sawyer

advised the city charter does not address what council should do in the absence of the mayor and mayor pro-tem as far as conducting business, but the charter does state that four councilmembers constitutes a quorum for conducting business. He further advised the city attorney stated it would be appropriate to refer to the Michigan Consolidated Laws, which becomes the city's law in the absence of guidance from the city charter. The Michigan Consolidated Laws states "in the absence of the president and president pro-tem, the council shall appoint one of its members to preside, and for the time being, the member shall exercise the powers and duties of the president." He also advised it would be up to the city council to appoint one of its members to act as mayor. Hornus moved, Runyan seconded to appoint Al Bayless to preside over the meeting as president.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Hornus, Mehig, Bayless, Ockerman, Runyan.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

The meeting was called to order in the City Council Chambers by Councilperson Bayless at 7:00 p.m.

MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS REGULAR MEETING: Hornus moved, Mehig seconded to approve the minutes of the previous regular meeting.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Ockerman, Hornus, Runyan, Mehig.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

AGENDA APPROVAL: Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to approve the agenda with the following changes: Move Item No. 10) Discussion on Closing Shiawassee Street/Corunna Avenue Intersection to Item No. 1, move Item No. 8) Discussion on Parking Issue to Item No. 11, and add Item No. 10) Discussion on Vendor Disbursement Items No. 19 First Bankcard Center, No. 30 L.T.D. Services, and No. 56 Thomas Mfg. Co. Inc.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Runyan, Mehigh, Ockerman, Hornus.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

APPROVAL OF VENDOR DISBURSEMENTS: Hornus moved, Ockerman seconded to approve the vendor disbursements as presented with the exception of Items No. 19 First Bankcard Center, No. 30 L.T.D. Services, and No. 56 Thomas Mfg. Co. Inc.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Runyan, Ockerman, Hornus, Mehigh.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

COUNCIL ROUNDTABLE DISCUSSION: Councilperson Runyan advised the Fourth of July Commission is coming along good, but the commission is still looking for volunteers. He further advised the historical commission is also moving along. Councilperson Mehigh stated he would like to see a little more information in the commission minutes. Councilperson Bayless advised this was something the city manager could look into. Mr. Sawyer asked if there were any commission minutes in particular that needed improvement. Councilperson Mehigh stated the ambulance board, fire board, and softball commission minutes lacked information. Councilperson Ockerman advised a decision was made by the library board and ambulance board to make motions with no before or after details. He further advised minutes are supposed to be in detail and council is not getting details. Councilperson Runyan asked if the meeting minutes were supposed to be verbatim. Yvonne Long advised only motions are required, it would be difficult to get the recording secretary of the boards and commissions to take minutes verbatim because they are taking the minutes for free and they would probably quit. Councilperson Ockerman stated the minutes do not have to be verbatim. The minutes should contain some kind of sensible conclusion as to why the boards and commissions do something. Mr. Sawyer stated he would look into the situation and put together some form of memo asking the boards and commissions to include some type of reasoning or summary in their minutes, which support their motions. Councilperson Mehigh suggested giving some of the record keepers a little training because it is difficult to take minutes. Councilperson Bayless advised the ambulance board would be electing new officers on Thursday so this was a good time to bring up the issue.

Councilperson Ockerman advised the Shiawassee Area Transportation Agency rider ship increased about 500 people in May. He further advised the agency was looking at a building site in Owosso where the old Redmond building used to be, and reported that one third of the money needed for the building site has already been funded. He also advised the proposed site is next to a residential area.

Councilperson Bayless advised the ambulance board will meet on Thursday, and the board will look at resumes since a new manager will be hired.

CALL TO AUDIENCE: Don Runyon asked if the city's construction project was guaranteed. There

has been an advertisement on the television that the state is getting a seven-year guarantee on the work that is being done in Flint and Lansing. Tom Gray, construction engineer for the Michigan Department of Transportation, advised there is no warranty for this particular project. Mr. Runyon asked why. Mr. Gray advised the city's project was different than the I-75 project. Mr. Runyon asked if the work would have to be done again in two years after the city has spent \$280,000. Mr. Gray advised no. Mr. Runyon stated that is what he wanted to know. Mr. Gray advised the guarantee the city receives comes with the inspection of materials and workmanship. The types of projects that have warranties are usually called capital preventative maintenance projects, which are quick fixes that try to extend the life of the pavement. He further advised the city's project is a major reconstruction project with a life cycle of probably 25 to 30 years. He also advised the quality of work being done is being ensured by having inspectors on site daily, and these inspectors make sure the contractors are doing quality work. There are testing procedures being done on all materials so the city will not waste its money. Mr. Runyon thanked Mr. Gray for his answers. Gene Sanderson asked Mr. Gray if the Michigan Department of Transportation has been advised about the railroad track on S. Shiawassee Street and if the railroad tracks would be taken care of by the end of the construction. Mr. Gray advised he contacted the railroad three times about the situation, and the railroad has been told they need to take care of the situation. He further advised anything beyond the notification is out of his control because he cannot make the railroad get off their rear ends. Mr. Sanderson asked Mr. Gray if his truck drivers know there is a speed limit in front of his house on S. Shiawassee Street. Mr. Gray advised yes. Mr. Sanderson asked if the trucks, which are loaded with dirt, have to be covered with a screen. Mr. Gray advised yes. Mr. Sanderson advised the trucks do not cover them. Mr. Gray advised he has not noticed that situation, but he would check into the situation. Mr. Sanderson advised he contacted the Corunna Police Department two weeks ago, and the truck drivers were notified, but within one hour, the screens were off and have not been back on the trucks since. Mr. Sanderson asked Mr. Gray if it was possible to have the street swept between the Corunna Veterans of Foreign Wars and the railroad tracks. Mr. Gray advised he would get the street swept. Sam Burwell asked if the city had a leg to stand on if the railroad says that the railroad crossing was not designed to have this amount of traffic crossing the tracks. He further asked if the city or the Michigan Department of Transportation was responsible for repairing the railroad tracks, which the heavy trucks may have damaged. Mr. Gray advised he was not sure what the agreement with the railroad was, but the railroad gave its permission to use the route prior to the start of the construction.

Judy Horton advised the historical commission was told only motions had to be in the minutes. The commission does put more information in the minutes because the commission wants to be able to go back and review them.

DISCUSSION ON CLOSING SHIAWASSEE STREET/CORUNNA AVENUE INTERSECTION:

Mr. Sawyer discussed his report on the streetscape project. He advised the city has been very cooperative with the contractor, but there have been a few concerns with the construction project. The tarping of loads was one concern, and a citation was written within the last two weeks. He further advised the concerns he has are with Zito Construction and not with the Michigan Department of Transportation or with the project engineer. Mr. Sawyer also advised it would be of mutual benefit to grant the request for a three week reroute of the Shiawassee

Street/Corunna Avenue intersection because of the water main replacement that will be made at the intersection. Councilperson Runyan asked where the southbound traffic would be rerouted. Mr. Sawyer advised traffic going north and south would not be affected. Mr. Gray advised the southbound traffic that would access M-71 would be routed to McNeil Street then west to Norton Street or State Street depending on council. Mr. Sawyer advised only the turning traffic would be affected and Shiawassee Street would not be closed to through traffic. Councilperson Ockerman asked when the intersection would be closed. Mr. Gray advised August 6 to August 25. Councilperson Hornus asked if the intersection would be closed for approximately three weeks in order to install the water main. Mr. Gray advised yes. Councilperson Hornus asked if a bonus would be paid if the construction is finished ahead of schedule. Mr. Gray advised there is a bonus incentive, but at the beginning of the construction, there was some work extended on the opposite side of Corunna Avenue at the request of the city that the contractor has not been granted any additional time for. Councilperson Hornus asked what the bonus per day incentive was. Mr. Gray advised \$5,000 per day. Councilperson Hornus advised the contractor would get \$5,000 for every day the construction is shortened. Mr. Gray advised there is an excessive amount of work to do on the west side of the street, and the work will not be done as quickly as the storm sewer work was done. Councilperson Runyan advised he thought he heard someone state that there would be an additional cost if the city wanted the contractors to do extra work, but if the intersection is closed then it would not cost the city anything. Mr. Gray advised no additional time would be needed for the extra work so it would save the city some money. Mr. Sawyer advised Corunna Avenue was originally going to be done to the alley, but now, the construction will be done the entire block. This change was made after the project was put out for bid so the one half block is not part of the incentive time line. He further advised Zito Construction is willing to complete the other one half block within the time line of the existing contract as a concession of getting the intersection closed down. Ockerman moved, Mehig seconded to allow Zito Construction to close the Shiawassee Street/Corunna Avenue intersection to turning traffic for an approximate period from August 6 to August 25 and allow the city manager some flexibility on his discretion. Mr. Gray stated he wanted council to understand there would be total closure for the east/west traffic. Councilperson Runyan asked if the contractor would come back in the spring if council decided not to close the intersection. Mr. Sawyer advised the contractor does not want to come back in the spring anymore than the city wants them to. Mr. Gray stated if a detour is not allowed then he has to look for other alternatives to get the work done. He further stated the only way he could do that is to eliminate the city's water main that is causing the conflict, but he does not want to do that because the street will be new and no one knows how long the existing water main will last. He also stated when the water main does break the city would have to repair the water main and the street.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Mehig, Runyan, Hornus, Ockerman.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

MANAGER'S REPORT: Mr. Sawyer reviewed his manager's report with council and with those in the audience. He went through the meetings and events he planned to attend.

PRESENTATION BY JUDY HORTON: Judy Horton presented the city council with a check for \$5,000 as payment on the loan for moving the Kribs House to the village. She advised the historical commission makes a \$5,000 payment every year and has three years left to pay on the loan.

CONSIDER ORDINANCE NO. 2001-01 TO AMEND SECTION 86-2 DEFINITIONS AND RULES OF CONSTRUCTION, OF CHAPTER 86 ZONING, ARTICLE 1: Gary Palmer advised the proposed ordinance amends the current zoning ordinance by adding definitions that are not in the current zoning ordinance. The proposed ordinance places a definition for a non-secure juvenile detention facility and a county work release facility. He further advised the ordinance specifies who will occupy the facilities. He also advised any future expansion of the property would have to come before the planning commission and city council for a conditional use permit. Councilperson Ockerman asked why the zoning ordinance could not be left as it is. Mr. Palmer advised the city has no guidelines or terminology within its zoning ordinance so under the current ordinance the county could use the facility for any type of use with no review by the city planning commission or city council. Councilperson Ockerman stated he was not trying to pick a fight with the county, but he would rather have the property be used for commercial so the city could finally get some tax revenue. He further stated if the ordinance is left as it is then the county could operate the facility the way it is now, but they cannot add anything to the property. Mr. Palmer advised the county can use the existing buildings, but if the city council does not approve the ordinance then the county could add to the building or do whatever they want and the city would have no control. He further advised the proposed ordinance would allow the city to review the operation at that location or any additions to the existing building. Mr. Sawyer asked Mr. Palmer to explain the difference between operating with a legal non-conforming status versus amending the ordinance. Mr. Palmer advised if the ordinance does not address the facility with terminology or by definition then the facility cannot be a non-conforming building. The building currently on the property is a conforming use building since the operation the county has proposed is similar enough to a nursing home thus allowing the facility to go there. He further advised the current zoning ordinance does not address the proposed use of the facility so the planning commission decided to define those types of facilities and add them to the zoning ordinance. If the county comes back asking for expansion or new buildings then the planning commission will be able to review those types of operations. Councilperson Ockerman stated it was his understanding the county came to the planning commission and stated their intent. The county also stated that they had no intention of building a jail, but 30 days later, there was a bond issue for a jail, which puts him in a position of not believing the county. He further stated he hates to act in any way because he does not feel comfortable. Councilperson Ockerman asked Mr. Palmer if the planning commission decided to do this or if the county came to him. Mr. Palmer advised the county came to the planning commission and told them what was being proposed for the former Griffin Home building. He further advised the county initially contacted him and then went to the planning commission with a proposal. Councilperson Mehig asked if the city would have better control over the situation if the ordinance is adopted. Mr. Palmer advised yes. The county would have to meet certain conditions with a conditional use permit that are set up by the planning commission or city council before the county would be allowed to expand. Councilperson Bayless asked Mr. Palmer if he had heard any objections to the proposed ordinance. Mr. Palmer advised he had not heard anything. Tina Volek, County Coordinator,

apologized for any misunderstanding. She advised the county came to the planning commission for permission to use the existing building for a non-secure juvenile detention facility and work release facility. She further advised the county has a non-secure juvenile detention facility located in a residential neighborhood in Caledonia Township, and it has operated without any difficulty for several years. She also advised the county's intent was to first focus on the existing facility, but with the jail-overcrowding situation, the work release facility has become a major or critical component for the county. Ms. Volek stated the county is well aware that the entire property is zoned multi-family, and the jail issue has not been addressed. She further stated she was instructed by the county board of commissioners to come to the city and work with the city to the best of her capability. The county would prefer to work cooperatively with the city on this project. She also stated the existing building would be used purely for juveniles. Ms. Volek explained the county has done a master plan at the request of the planning commission. The county master plan contains a plan for an off-site and an on-site jail facility. She further explained the county has committed to put quite a bit of an investment into the building, but the county would like to expand the facility as the capacity expands at that location. She also explained if the proposed ordinance is approved, she anticipates the county will apply for a conditional use permit. Councilperson Mehigh asked Ms. Volek if she had a projection on how long the facility would be good for before any expansion is needed. Ms. Volek advised approximately three to four years if the building is used in a dual capacity. If the county is fortunate enough to build another jail facility then the work release program would be moved back to the old jail building. Councilperson Hornus asked if any of the rooms would be rented out to different counties. Ms. Volek advised yes because there is a demand for non-secure beds. Councilperson Mehigh asked if the juveniles would be confined to the building. Ms. Volek advised yes, the juveniles have 100 percent adult supervision at all times. Councilperson Ockerman stated he would like the county to figure out a way to put some commercial buildings along the front of the property. He asked how much acreage the property had. Ms. Volek advised the former Griffin property has 24 acres. She further advised the county hopes to return some of its property to the private sector and consolidate some of the buildings to two locations. Councilperson Ockerman asked which building might come back to the public sector. Ms. Volek advised the district court facility, the old prosecutor's office located on the corner of Corunna Avenue and Shiawassee Street and possibly the buildings and grounds office on Norton Street. She further advised the county did have a chance to review the proposed language and the language is satisfactory. Councilperson Ockerman asked if the proposed ordinance could be changed. Mr. Palmer advised the planning commission makes a recommendation to the city council, and the city council has the option of accepting the recommendation as presented or sending the ordinance back to the planning commission with any changes. Councilperson Ockerman advised there were two planning commission members present and asked council to allow them to enlighten council if there were no objections. Gene Sanderson stated each time the city hears from the county it hears something different. He further stated when the county started the process, the only thing that was discussed was the former Griffin building. Ms. Volek apologized and stated there is no intent on the county's part to be anything but be upfront. Councilperson Ockerman stated the city wants a good relationship with the county, but trust is based on time and actions. The city is loaded with county offices, and the city is not getting any tax revenue for the property. He further stated the city would have received some tax revenue if the property had been sold as commercial property. He also stated he personally does not like a juvenile center so close to a

city. Ms. Volek advised the property does have some buffers that would not be found in a residential area. Councilperson Ockerman advised he understood that the previous property owner was very bitter towards the city and its officials, but asked if there was anyway the frontage could be used as commercial in order to add some tax revenue. Ms. Volek advised she was not sure if there is enough space for commercial development, and she thought there might be an access issue in regards to parking. Councilperson Mehig stated the road commission has been less than honest with the city. The property on west M-71 was rezoned so a new facility could be built there, and at that time, their commitment to the city was to develop the three lots facing the highway so the city could get some of the property back on the tax roll. He further stated that was eight or nine years ago, and the road commission has not made an effort to do such a thing so this is why there is some mistrust, but he was not referring to Ms. Volek. Councilperson Ockerman stated Councilperson Mehig spent 23 years on the planning commission so he would know what has happened. Councilperson Mehig asked Mr. Sawyer if the city could live with the proposed ordinance if it is adopted. Mr. Sawyer advised the proposed ordinance does not allow the construction of a new jail or court house, but he believes there are issues outside of the county use of the property that need to be addressed by council such as the zoning itself. The property is currently zoned multi-family, and it is also multi-family on the proposed future land use map that is being considered. He further advised there is no plan whatsoever to allow commercial property at that location to happen. He also advised he believes the county's intent is sincere, and they are willing to work with the city by letting the city know what the county's development plans are. Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to adopt the following ordinance, which will be effective upon publication and authorize the mayor and city clerk to sign the ordinance:

ORDINANCE NO. 2001-01

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CORUNNA, MICHIGAN,
BY AMENDING:

SECTION I. SECTION 86-2 DEFINITIONS AND RULES OF CONSTRUCTION, OF
CHAPTER 86 ZONING, ARTICLE 1 BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING DEFINITIONS:

NON-SECURED JUVENILE FACILITY means a juvenile court-operated residential care facility that is licensed by the State of Michigan, which would be used to temporarily house youths who are placed in the facility upon a court order from the county in which they are residents as a short-term consequence for their delinquent behavior.

COUNTY WORK RELEASE FACILITY means a facility owned and operated by the county for the purpose of housing inmates within the county correctional system who meet one of the following classifications:

- (1) WORK RELEASE INMATES. Men and women sentenced to jail by the various judges in the county who are granted the privilege of being released each day to go to their normal employment. They return after work and remain in the custody of the sheriff. They do not

include persons convicted of major sex offenses. Judicial discretion is the major tool for determining the availability of work release for each inmate

- (2) COMMUNITY WORKER INMATES. Men and women sentenced to jail by the various judges in the county who provide general labor to several governmental or non-profit groups. These persons are low-end offenders who propose minimal risk and do not include persons convicted of drug crimes resulting in a felony conviction and violent crimes resulting in a felony conviction.
- (3) INMATE TRUSTY. Men and women sentenced to jail by the various judges in the county who are assigned duties that vary from general cleaning and laundry service to food preparation.

Such a facility could also include accessory uses related to work with inmates and parolees including offices for a community corrections program.

SECTION II. PENALTIES.

Anyone violating this Ordinance or any subsection, paragraphs, clauses and parts hereof, is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than Three-Hundred and No/100 (\$300.00) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of Shiawassee County for a period of not more than ninety (90) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the Court of competent jurisdiction.

SECTION III. SEVERABILITY.

This Ordinance and the several sections, subsections, paragraphs, clauses and parts thereof are hereby declared to be severable. If any part of clause thereof is declared or adjudged invalid by present or future legislation or decree, the balance of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION IV. CONFLICTING ORDINANCES REPEALED.

All Ordinances previously adopted and incorporated in the Code of the City of Corunna, Michigan, through codification procedures, or any existing Ordinances that are inconsistent with the provision of this Ordinance are hereby repealed, and in the case of inconsistencies, to the extent of such inconsistency, are hereby repealed.

SECTION V. COPIES AVAILABLE.

This Ordinance may be purchased or inspected in the City Clerk's Offices, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

SECTION VI. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This Ordinance shall take effect pursuant to the Corunna City Charter, immediately upon publication hereof.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Hornus, Ockerman, Mehig, Runyan.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

CONSIDER ORDINANCE NO. 2001-02 TO AMEND ARTICLE VIII C-2 SERVICE/BUSINESS DISTRICT, SECTION 86-213 ADDING SPECIAL CONDITION USE AND DISCUSSION OF THE 20-YEAR MASTER PLAN: Gary Palmer advised under the current zoning ordinance, the only zoning district mini-warehousing is allowed in is in an industrial district, and the planning commission's opinion was that these types of operations would fit into a commercial district, which are sometimes located across from a multi-family complex. He further advised the planning commission instructed him to draft an amendment to the zoning ordinance to allow mini-warehousing in the B-3 and C-2 zoning district. Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to adopt the following ordinance, which will be effective upon publication and authorize the mayor and city clerk to sign the ordinance:

ORDINANCE NO. 2001-02

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND THE CODE OF THE CITY OF CORUNNA, MICHIGAN, BY AMENDING:

SECTION I: ARTICLE VIII C-2 SERVICE/BUSINESS DISTRICT, SECTION 86-213 BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING SPECIAL CONDITION USE:

(7) MINIWAREHOUSES (SELF-STORAGE FACILITIES)

- a. BUILDING SETBACKS SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: FRONT YARD NOT LESS THAN 25 FEET; SIDE YARD AND REAR YARD NOT LESS THAN TEN FEET.
- b. BUILDING SEPARATION BETWEEN SELF-STORAGE BUILDINGS ON THE SAME SITE SHALL BE 30 FEET, AS MEASURED FROM THE SIDE TO SIDE OR FRONT TO REAR, OR EQUAL TO THE BUILDING HEIGHT, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
- c. THE TOTAL LOT COVERAGE OF ALL STRUCTURES SHALL BE LIMITED TO 50 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL LOT AREA.
- d. WHEN ADJACENT TO A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, A SIGHT PROOF BARRIER SHALL BE PROVIDED AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE DEVELOPMENT. THE BARRIER SHALL BE LOCATED AT THE SETBACK LINE AND MAY CONSIST OF EITHER THE SOLID FACADES OF THE STORAGE STRUCTURES OR A FENCE. IF A FENCE IS PROVIDED, IT SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF SIX FEET IN HEIGHT AND SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF BRICK, STONE, MASONRY UNITS OR WOOD

PRODUCTS, WHICH ARE DETERMINED BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR TO BE DURABLE AND WEATHER RESISTANT.

- e. A TEN-FOOT LANDSCAPED GREENBELT SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN THE PROPERTY LINE AND REQUIRED BARRIER ALONG ALL STREET FRONTAGES. A FIVE-FOOT LANDSCAPED GREENBELT SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN THE PROPERTY LINE AND BARRIER WHERE THE SITE ABUTS ANY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE PLANTED IN CONFORMANCE WITH SECTION 86-350.
- f. PARKING SHALL BE PROVIDED IN A RATIO OF ONE SPACE FOR EACH 2,000 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS BUILDING AREA. AT A MINIMUM, TWO PARKING SPACES MUST BE ASSIGNED TO, AND LOCATED CONVENIENTLY TO, EACH INDIVIDUAL STORAGE BUILDING. IN ADDITION, TWO SPACES FOR THE RESIDENTIAL MANAGER, AND ONE ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR EACH ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEE, SHALL BE PROVIDED ADJACENT TO THE RENTAL OFFICE.
- g. INTERNAL DRIVEWAY AISLES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 FEET IN WIDTH.
- h. ALL OFF-STREET PARKING AREAS AND DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE HARD SURFACED AND DRAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 86-338.
- i. ALL INGRESS AND EGRESS FROM THE SITE SHALL BE DIRECTLY ONTO A COLLECTOR OR MAJOR THOROUGHFARE AS IDENTIFIED ON THE CITY FUTURE LAND USE PLAN.
- j. BUILDING HEIGHT SHALL NOT EXCEED ONE STORY (15 FEET), EXCEPT THAT A CARETAKER'S OR RESIDENTIAL MANAGER'S UNIT MAY BE ALLOWED A BUILDING HEIGHT OF TWO STORIES (25 FEET).
- k. NO SINGLE STORAGE BUILDING SHALL EXCEED 5,000 SQUARE FEET.
- l. ALL STORAGE ON THE PROPERTY SHALL BE KEPT WITHIN AN ENCLOSED BUILDING.
- m. THE USE OF THE PREMISES SHALL BE LIMITED TO STORAGE ONLY, AND THE PREMISES SHALL NOT BE USED FOR ANY AUCTIONS OR SALES, OR STORAGE AND TRANSFER BUSINESSES; FOR THE SERVICING, REPAIR OR FABRICATION OF ANY VEHICLE, BOAT, TRAILER, APPLIANCE, OR SIMILAR ITEM; OR FOR THE OPERATION OF POWER TOOLS, COMPRESSORS, KILNS, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT; EXCEPT THAT LIMITED SALES TO TENANTS OF PRODUCTS AND SUPPLIES INCIDENTAL TO THE PRINCIPLE USE, SUCH AS PACKING MATERIALS, IDENTIFICATION LABELS, ROPE, LOCKS, TAPE, ETC., SHALL BE PERMITTED ON THE SITE DEVOTED TO THIS USE. THE STORAGE OF COMBUSTIBLE OR FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS, COMBUSTIBLE FIBERS, OR EXPLOSIVE MATERIALS, AS DEFINED IN THE FIRE PROTECTION CODE, OR TOXIC MATERIALS, IS EXPRESSIVELY PROHIBITED.

ARTICLE IX C-3 GENERAL BUSINESS DISTRICT, SECTION 86-245 WHICH IS SPECIAL CONDITION USES BY ADDING:

- (6) MINIWAREHOUSES (SELF-STORAGE FACILITIES)

- a. BUILDING SETBACKS SHALL BE AS FOLLOWS: FRONT YARD NOT LESS THAN 30 FEET; SIDE YARD AND REAR YARD NOT LESS THAN TEN FEET.
- c. BUILDING SEPARATION BETWEEN SELF-STORAGE BUILDINGS ON THE SAME SITE SHALL BE 30 FEET, AS MEASURED FROM THE SIDE TO SIDE OR FRONT TO REAR, OR EQUAL TO THE BUILDING HEIGHT, WHICHEVER IS GREATER.
- d. THE TOTAL LOT COVERAGE OF ALL STRUCTURES SHALL BE LIMITED TO 50 PERCENT OF THE TOTAL LOT AREA.
- e. WHEN ADJACENT TO A RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT, A SIGHT PROOF BARRIER SHALL BE PROVIDED AROUND THE PERIMETER OF THE DEVELOPMENT. THE BARRIER SHALL BE LOCATED AT THE SETBACK LINE AND MAY CONSIST OF EITHER THE SOLID FACADES OF THE STORAGE STRUCTURES OR A FENCE. IF A FENCE IS PROVIDED, IT SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF SIX FEET IN HEIGHT AND SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF BRICK, STONE, MASONRY UNITS OR WOOD PRODUCTS, WHICH ARE DETERMINED BY THE BUILDING INSPECTOR TO BE DURABLE AND WEATHER RESISTANT.
- f. A TEN-FOOT LANDSCAPED GREENBELT SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN THE PROPERTY LINE AND REQUIRED BARRIER ALONG ALL STREET FRONTAGES. A FIVE-FOOT LANDSCAPED GREENBELT SHALL BE PROVIDED BETWEEN THE PROPERTY LINE AND BARRIER WHERE THE SITE ABUTS ANY RESIDENTIAL DISTRICT. ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE PLANTED IN CONFORMANCE WITH SECTION 86-350.
- g. PARKING SHALL BE PROVIDED IN A RATIO OF ONE SPACE FOR EACH 2,000 SQUARE FEET OF GROSS BUILDING AREA. AT A MINIMUM, TWO PARKING SPACES MUST BE ASSIGNED TO, AND LOCATED CONVENIENTLY TO, EACH INDIVIDUAL STORAGE BUILDING. IN ADDITION, TWO SPACES FOR THE RESIDENTIAL MANAGER, AND ONE ADDITIONAL SPACE FOR EACH ADDITIONAL EMPLOYEE, SHALL BE PROVIDED ADJACENT TO THE RENTAL OFFICE.
- h. INTERNAL DRIVEWAY AISLES SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 24 FEET IN WIDTH.
- i. ALL OFF-STREET PARKING AREAS AND DRIVEWAYS SHALL BE HARD SURFACED AND DRAINED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 86-338.
- j. ALL INGRESS AND EGRESS FROM THE SITE SHALL BE DIRECTLY ONTO A COLLECTOR OR MAJOR THOROUGHFARE AS IDENTIFIED ON THE CITY FUTURE LAND USE PLAN.
- k. BUILDING HEIGHT SHALL NOT EXCEED ONE STORY (15 FEET), EXCEPT THAT A CARETAKER'S OR RESIDENTIAL MANAGER'S UNIT MAY BE ALLOWED A BUILDING HEIGHT OF TWO STORIES (25 FEET).
- l. NO SINGLE STORAGE BUILDING SHALL EXCEED 5,000 SQUARE FEET.
- m. ALL STORAGE ON THE PROPERTY SHALL BE KEPT WITHIN AN ENCLOSED BUILDING.
- n. THE USE OF THE PREMISES SHALL BE LIMITED TO STORAGE ONLY, AND THE PREMISES SHALL NOT BE USED FOR ANY AUCTIONS OR

SALES, OR STORAGE AND TRANSFER BUSINESSES; FOR THE SERVICING, REPAIR OR FABRICATION OF ANY VEHICLE, BOAT, TRAILER, APPLIANCE, OR SIMILAR ITEM; OR FOR THE OPERATION OF POWER TOOLS, COMPRESSORS, KILNS, OR SIMILAR EQUIPMENT; EXCEPT THAT LIMITED SALES TO TENANTS OF PRODUCTS AND SUPPLIES INCIDENTAL TO THE PRINCIPLE USE, SUCH AS PACKING MATERIALS, IDENTIFICATION LABELS, ROPE, LOCKS, TAPE, ETC., SHALL BE PERMITTED ON THE SITE DEVOTED TO THIS USE. THE STORAGE OF COMBUSTIBLE OR FLAMMABLE LIQUIDS, COMBUSTIBLE FIBERS, OR EXPLOSIVE MATERIALS, AS DEFINED IN THE FIRE PROTECTION CODE, OR TOXIC MATERIALS, IS EXPRESSIVELY PROHIBITED.

SECTION II. PENALTIES.

Anyone violating this Ordinance or any subsection, paragraphs, clauses and parts hereof, is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not more than Three-Hundred and No/100 (\$300.00) Dollars, or by imprisonment in the County Jail of Shiawassee County for a period of not more than ninety (90) days, or by both such fine and imprisonment in the discretion of the Court of competent jurisdiction.

SECTION III. SEVERABILITY.

This Ordinance and the several sections, subsections, paragraphs, clauses and parts thereof are hereby declared to be severable. If any part of clause thereof is declared or adjudged invalid by present or future legislation or decree, the balance of the Ordinance shall not be affected thereby.

SECTION IV. CONFLICTING ORDINANCES REPEALED.

All Ordinances previously adopted and incorporated in the Code of the City of Corunna, Michigan, through codification procedures, or any existing Ordinances that are inconsistent with the provision of this Ordinance are hereby repealed, and in the case of inconsistencies, to the extent of such inconsistency, are hereby repealed.

SECTION V. COPIES AVAILABLE.

This Ordinance may be purchased or inspected in the City Clerk's Offices, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m.

SECTION VI. EFFECTIVE DATE:

This Ordinance shall take effect pursuant to the Corunna City Charter, immediately upon publication hereof.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Ockerman, Hornus, Runyan, Mehig.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

Councilperson Ockerman stated he was adamantly opposed to what the planning commission has proposed for east M-71. The area should be commercial, and it does not hurt anybody since almost everything in that area is. He further stated the city can not be a bedroom community forever. The city has to look for some income. He also stated he was concerned the planning commission is kind of bullying the plan forward by bypassing the city council and he resented that. Councilperson Ockerman advised he resented a 20-year plan even more. Gary Palmer advised the public hearing on Wednesday is for a proposed future land use map. He further advised the city council directed the planning commission to update the city's master plan. The old master plan is a written document, but the proposed future land use map is only a portion of the master plan. He also advised the public hearing is to review the proposed future land use map. The proposed map goes to the city council for a recommendation to the planning commission and then the map goes back to the planning commission. Councilperson Ockerman stated he has heard the future land use map would never come to council because the map does not have to come to council. He asked if the planning commission has to send the future land use map to the city council. Mr. Palmer advised he believed the map has to come to the city council, but he would research the issue and have an answer before the next meeting. Councilperson Ockerman advised there are two separate entities of government; the city council and the planning commission. The planning commission cannot make policies, but they can make recommendations. He further advised if the planning commission does not send the future land use map to council then they are making policy. The city council needs to know what the rules are so council does not allow something to happen that is illegal. He also advised he wants an opportunity to vote on the map. Councilperson Ockerman stated he heard Mr. Palmer tell the planning commission that east M-71 needs to be commercial so why does the planning commission not do that. Mr. Palmer stated he makes recommendations to the planning commission, and the M-71 corridor between Shiawassee Street and Parmenter Road has been a very controversial area. He suggested to council that if they had a problem with the proposed future land use map to come to the planning commission meeting on Wednesday night. Councilperson Ockerman stated he was told it would take six out of seven members to approve the map and asked if this was correct. Mr. Palmer advised it would take a 2/3 majority to approve the map. Sam Burwell advised it would take six planning commission members to approve the map. Councilperson Ockerman stated that would help. Mr. Palmer stated the proposed map is only a future land use map and not a zoning map. He further stated if the proposed map is adopted, an individual who owns property on the north side of east M-71 could come to the planning commission to rezone his property to commercial, and the person would be in compliance with the future land use plan. The planning commission would have no other choice but to recommend the rezoning approval. Councilperson Runyan stated the proposed future land use map does not have to come to the city council. Mr. Palmer stated he thought it did, but he would check into the situation.

CONSIDER ENGINEERING PROPOSAL FOR MCCURDY PARK RESTROOM BUILDING:

Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to approve the contract with Capital Consultants to provide engineering services for the McCurdy Park restroom building in the amount of \$30,650 to be paid for from line item 208-751-821.101 and authorize the mayor and city manager to sign the contract.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Runyan, Mehigh, Ockerman, Hornus

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

CONSIDER ENGINEERING PROPOSAL FOR SHIAWASSEE STREET REPAVING: Ockerman

moved, Hornus seconded to approve the contract with Capital Consultants to provide the engineering service for the Shiawassee Street repaving on a time and materials basis in the amount not to exceed \$60,500 to be paid for from line item 534-570-821.000 and authorize the mayor and city manager to sign the contract.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Runyan, Ockerman, Hornus, Mehigh.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

CONSIDER ACTION ON DEQ SSO CONSENT ORDER: Hornus moved, Ockerman seconded to

authorize the city manager to cooperate and negotiate with the Department of Environmental Quality to draft a consent agreement to address the city's sanitary sewer overflow problem and present the plan to council for approval.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Hornus, Mehigh, Ockerman, Runyan.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

CONSIDER APPOINTMENT TO THE CORUNNA HISTORICAL COMMISSION, TERM TO

EXPIRE DECEMBER 31, 2003: Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to appoint Anissa Tay Waterman to the Corunna Historical Commission for a term beginning upon the Taking of Oath and continuing until December 31, 2003 or until a successor is appointed.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Mehigh, Runyan, Hornus, Ockerman.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

DISCUSSION ON VENDOR DISBURSEMENT ITEMS NO. 19 FIRST BANKCARD CENTER,

NO. 30 L.T.D. SERVICES, AND NO. 56 THOMAS MFG. CO. INC.: Councilperson

Ockerman stated he took the liberty and asked Mr. Sawyer about these three items in advance. The charter calls for \$500 and anytime an item exceeds \$500 it has to be placed on an agenda

for council consideration. Councilperson Ockerman questioned item 19 and asked for an explanation. Mr. Sawyer advised this discussion would give council a better feel of the internal process, which sometimes concerns what has been approved or paid. He asked council to turn to the vendor approval summary report on page 11 in their council packet and explained to them that the report had nothing to do with purchases. He explained the vendor approval summary report was strictly a list of vendors, which shows who the accounts payable checks were written to, but the report did not identify what was purchased. He further explained he almost pulled the report from the council packets because he recognized the report was somewhat confusing if a person does not keep in mind that the report was not a purchase report. He proceeded to explain what the three vendor disbursements in question were to council. Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to approve vendor disbursement items No. 19 First Bankcard Center, No. 30 L.T.D. Services, and No. 56 Thomas Mfg. Co. Inc. as presented. Roll call vote:

Yes: Hornus, Ockerman, Mehigh, Runyan.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED

DISCUSSION ON PARKING ISSUE: Councilperson Ockerman stated he asked for this item to be added to the agenda. He further stated Councilperson Runyan brought the issue to his attention because the article disturbed him. Councilperson Ockerman also stated after he read the article he was disturbed by it. After speaking to Councilperson Mehigh, who was also disturbed by the article, the three of them thought the issue should be put on the agenda for discussion. Councilperson Ockerman advised he was referring to an article in the June 10 edition of the Independent, which stated that more parking on county owned property was being proposed by Mayor Billis. Councilperson Ockerman read the article. He further advised this issue has never been brought to council's attention. He also advised there are many things that have been going on that he thought went beyond the powers allowed for the mayoral position. Councilperson Ockerman read page 17 of the city charter, which states what the mayor's duties are and read page 19 of the city charter, which states what the city manager's duties are. The city manager performs the duties of the office under the authority of and is accountable to the city council and not the mayor. Councilperson Ockerman stated he and some of the other councilpeople are concerned that Mr. Sawyer's time is being devoured. This is not a business that has a head of the company who can dictate and run the show. The city has a city manager to do that. Councilperson Ockerman further stated when he came to see Mr. Sawyer he had to come back four different times since Mr. Sawyer's entire day was spent with the mayor. Councilperson Ockerman also stated he has some real problems with what is going on, and he thought that powers were being taken to the limits, which is unacceptable and the charter is not being followed. Councilperson Ockerman advised he probably would not be opposed to the parking issue, but he would like to know about it and the courtesy to be informed. He further advised he wants a consent given and not taken. He also advised he does not like to be intimidated if he has a view that may be the opposite of whoever the presiding officer is at that time whether that is spinning the gavel or rolling the eyes. Councilperson Ockerman stated he realizes these are things that cannot be written down, but he does not think this is acceptable behavior. He just thinks someone is beginning to overstep powers that are vested in the charter even if the intention is good. He further stated he thinks this one fellow has good intentions, but he has to follow the city charter. You cannot go out and do what you want at will without

consent. He also stated the person has to discuss and get consent from council before proceeding with anything. There has been too much interference with the city manager and the department of public works supervisor. Councilperson Ockerman advised he talked to the department of public works supervisor as well as the city manager and other individuals, and they feel hounded and bound and that is totally out of line. The city council cannot have two chiefs because the city has one chief and that is Mr. Sawyer. Councilperson Ockerman further advised that Mr. Sawyer is answerable to the city council and not to the executive head of the city. Councilperson Ockerman also advised two many liberties are taking place in session, and the mayor needs to preside over the council instead of trying to make council look bad, which bothers him. The mayor is the figurehead of the city and not an employee, and he is not the chief executive of a corporation. Councilperson Ockerman stated the mayor has been interfering with the day-to-day operations of the city. He further stated he hated to bring this issue up in this fashion, but if this was a private company, a board meeting could be called to hammer out the situation without presenting any dirty laundry to the public. He also stated he was not trying to destroy anybody, but he was trying to get people to follow the charter. Power can be abused and he thinks it is being abused. Councilperson Ockerman advised he was looking at some assurances from the mayor that he would begin to follow the steps as outlined in the charter. He further advised he would like him to be as courteous to council as council is to him, but not exceed the limits and powers granted to him by the city charter. He also advised he asked to have the issue moved to the end of the meeting because he was not trying to embarrass the mayor, but this has been bothering him for quite some time, and he does not want to make anybody look bad. It is the council's duty and obligation to uphold the city charter, and council runs the show here. Councilperson Mehig advised he had a citizen ask him about the parking lot issue and he did not know anything about it until he got the paper. He further advised the city does need parking, but there are ways to do the process within the charter to get council permission. He also advised he believes the mayor needs to live within the rules of the city charter even though he might have good intentions. Councilperson Bayless stated he agreed with Councilperson Ockerman. He has a real concern with some of the things he has heard during water main breaks and the street construction. He further stated it is very interesting to see what is going on, and a person wants to be involved, but sometimes the mayor is putting his own health or life at risk being in those situations because he is not trained to deal with the construction and heavy equipment. He also stated Mr. Crawford has expressed some concerns that as street administrator he has to make some decisions and he does not want his authority usurped by someone saying this or that should be done. Councilperson Bayless advised he agreed that there has been something going beyond what should be happening and the situation needs to be addressed. He asked if there was any formal action that could be taken to instruct the mayor that council would like him to live within the city charter. Councilperson Ockerman stated that was the last thing he wanted to do because he did not want to embarrass the mayor anymore than he had to, but once the mayor read what was on the agenda, he was upset and decided not to show up and that is unfortunate. He further stated he would have liked to talk to him about the situation privately, but he knew nothing would get done. This is the way the situation has to be addressed and these are the dirty things that have to be done, but the city council's obligation is to uphold the city charter. He also stated the fact that he is not here does not allow city council to get any assurances from him so the mayor has basically forced council to do something. Ockerman moved, Hornus seconded to instruct the city manager to go about his business, as he should and to limit the time that is spent with the mayor.

Councilperson Ockerman advised he wanted Mr. Sawyer to know that he is accountable as stated in the charter to this council and not to the mayor. He further advised if Mr. Sawyer has to tell the mayor that he cannot spend as much time with him then he is going to have to do that. Councilperson Runyan stated the city council already has a city charter and laws in place and asked council if they really wanted to go with a motion route. He further stated council took an oath to the charter and the people of Corunna, but making a motion is just duplicating the laws that are already in place. Councilperson Bayless advised the motion is not instructing the mayor on anything. Councilperson Ockerman stated he was trying to give Mr. Sawyer an avenue to go with. Mr. Sawyer's job is extremely difficult, and he is being pulled in every different direction without knowing whom he is accountable to. Councilperson Ockerman further stated the motion defines that and it only states what is in the charter. Councilperson Runyan stated he agreed but suggested to council that they do something as a directive. Councilperson Ockerman stated his motion does that. Councilperson Hornus stated the motion gives Mr. Sawyer some ammunition without dumping the situation on his own shoulders. Councilperson Ockerman stated council has to stand up. Councilperson Runyan stated he thought council was standing up a little too much. Councilperson Ockerman advised Councilperson Runyan that he would get a chance to vote on the motion.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Ockerman, Hornus, Mehig.

No: Runyan.

Motion CARRIED

CALL TO AUDIENCE: Sam Burwell advised a lot of what Councilperson Ockerman stated tonight was exactly why he is in the position he is in right now. He further advised the city has a weak mayoral post, and it is designed that way. The city manager runs the city, is a professional and was hired for that reason so let the city manager run the city. He also advised if the city council does not like what the city manager is doing then council should fire him, but no one should be in the city manager's office everyday telling him how to run the city. Mr. Burwell stated the same thing should be done with the department of public works. He has talked to Mr. Crawford about these issues on several occasions, and it is true that the mayor is butting in where he does not belong. Mr. Burwell further stated he believes that the number one job of the mayor is to be a good-will ambassador to the City of Corunna and to make the city look good. He also stated he was a little disturbed at some of the charges that were made tonight, but whether the charges are true or not, the mayor was not present to defend himself. The city council has to be careful what it says when a person is not here to defend himself. Mr. Burwell advised it was also stated that the mayor was not present because of what was on the agenda and asked if anyone knew that for a fact. Councilperson Ockerman advised he stated that he assumed and had heard that was the reason why he was not present. Mr. Burwell stated he wrote down what Councilperson Ockerman had said. Councilperson Ockerman stated that was good. Mr. Burwell advised that Councilperson Ockerman stated the way the mayor was spinning the gavel and rolling his eyes. Councilperson Ockerman advised that was correct and asked Mr. Burwell if he had never seen that. Mr. Burwell advised all he was saying was the mayor was not present to defend himself. He further advised he also agreed with Councilperson Runyan that he did not think a motion was necessary. Mr. Burwell also advised that Mr. Sawyer knows what his job is and who he answers to so he should tell the mayor to get out of his office because he has the right to do that. Mr. Burwell stated he and Mr. Sawyer

have talked at length about this situation, and it was Mr. Burwell's understanding that the mayor does bother Mr. Sawyer a lot, but Mr. Sawyer lets the mayor do it. Mr. Burwell further stated Mr. Sawyer does not need the city council to make a motion to get the mayor off Mr. Sawyer's back, but since they have, Mr. Sawyer has more ammunition to fight with. Mr. Sawyer stated in no way, shape or form did he encourage this dialogue at all tonight and this was not his agenda. Councilperson Ockerman advised it was his agenda.

Janet Washburn advised she was present at the meeting as a representative of the Owosso Zonta Club. At the Zonta Club meeting last Thursday, the club voted to give approximately \$5,849 towards the construction of the McCurdy Park bathrooms and pavilion. She further advised with the money that has already been received the city is \$1,200 short of \$10,000 to go towards that project. She also advised the front gazebo in McCurdy Park is being reconstructed, and the construction company is going to do everything they can to get the gazebo done before the Fourth of July.

Gene Sanderson advised Mr. Gray stated that the Michigan Department of Transportation has been in contact with the railroad at least three times about the railroad tracks on S. Shiawassee Street. Mr. Sanderson further advised if Mr. Sawyer could find the time he could start badgering the railroad about taking care of their railroad tracks so by the time the construction is done the tracks will be fixed. Mr. Sanderson asked Mr. Sawyer to check into putting the metal plates at the railroad tracks like Owosso has on Main Street instead of the wooden plates. Mr. Sanderson stated he sits in his house with the door open and all he hears is clumpity clump, clumpity clump all night long. He further stated he agreed with what Councilperson Ockerman stated tonight. The people who come to the regular council meetings and sit in the audience get very annoyed by the twirling of the gavel and letting other people speak out of turn when someone has the floor. He also stated when Councilperson Ockerman has the floor, there is talking going on between the other council members and nothing is said, but if somebody else makes a move, the mayor has something to say to them. Mr. Sanderson advised the mayor should not be reprimanded but should be addressed about the problem.

Don Runyon asked why someone has to go to the street to get water for the park when there is water in the park. Councilperson Bayless advised the water line being installed is on the far side of the park towards the village so it would be a shorter run. Mr. Sawyer advised if the city is only pulling a two-inch water line then it could be pulled from anywhere and whatever is cheapest. If the city goes with a fire hydrant then it would require an eight-inch water line so the city would have no choice but go to a main street to do the tap in. Mr. Runyon stated it sounded like a fire hydrant would not be put in. Councilperson Bayless advised the situation would be looked at to see what would be reasonable. Mr. Runyon asked if there was a fire hydrant anywhere else in the area. Councilperson Bayless advised there are other fire hydrants in the area, and the proximity of existing fire hydrants will be looked at.

Judy Horton asked if the front gazebo would be reconstructed like it was. Ms. Washburn advised yes. Ms. Horton stated placing a fire hydrant near the historical village was talked about because the village wanted to be sure there would be enough water to go there. She further stated she did not think there was anybody in the city that would object, especially if they have kids, to having the water shutoff for a period of time to hook the water up. She also

stated she believes there will be a lot of people willing to donate their time with the pavilion/restrooms. Mr. Sawyer stated if some of the buildings in the village need a sprinkler system then a larger water line would be required. Councilperson Runyan stated a two-inch water line would work for now, but the village is just getting started and there might be a lot of things added to the village that would require a larger water line. He further stated he would recommend putting in a six or eight-inch water line so there is a big enough water line if and when it is needed.

ADJOURN: Ockerman moved to adjourn.

Roll call vote:

Yes: Runyan, Mehig, Ockerman, Hornus.

No: None.

Motion CARRIED Time was 9:26 p.m.

ALBERT BAYLESS, PRESIDENT

YVONNE F. LONG, CITY CLERK